

David Kelsey-Sugg

Qualifications	LL. B. (Hons), B. Sc.
Admission	15 August 2006
Signed Bar Roll	24 October 2013
Jurisdiction	Victoria
Phone	9225 7666
Facsimile	9225 8450
Email	dkelseysugg@vicbar.com.au
Chambers	Chambers: Castan Chambers Floor 13: Room 10 460 Lonsdale St, Melbourne

**Areas of Practice****Administrative and Public Law**

- Freedom of Information
- Administrative Law (Judicial review)
- Administrative Law (Merits Review)

Commercial

- General Commercial
- Trade Practices
- Banking and Finance

Common Law and Personal Injuries

- Coronial Inquests
- Total and Permanent Disability Claims

Corporations Law

- ASIC Investigations
- ASIC Prosecutions
- Financial Products Regulations

Equity and Trusts

- Trusts
- Equity

Human Rights / Migration Law

- Discrimination and Harassment Disputes
- Equity Opportunity

Insurance Law

- Insurance Law

Taxation, Revenue and Superannuation

- Superannuation

General profile

David practises in commercial and public law.

David is reading with Rowena Orr.

Previous occupation

David has 8 years' experience as a litigator.

Before coming to the Bar, he was a Senior Lawyer at ASIC working exclusively on the Storm Financial litigation – complex regulatory proceedings brought by ASIC against three major Australian banks.

Prior to that, he was an Associate at Maurice Blackburn working principally in financial services litigation. He gained extensive superannuation and insurance experience and developed particular expertise in these areas.

**Academic Position /
Published Articles:**

He holds degrees in Law (Hons) and Science from La Trobe University, where he was the third-highest ranked honours student.

He shortly begins a Master of Public and International Law at Melbourne University.

'Formation of Opinions by Insurers' (2012) 86 Australian Law Journal 379 - considers the exercise of discretionary contractual powers by insurers and the different ways that the exercise of such powers can be reviewed.

'Time Cuts Both Ways' (2007) 81(8) Law Institute Journal 44- considers a Federal Court decision on timing in disablement claims